28

6

7

12

13

23

19

27 28

TO THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

Plaintiff Tricia Leckler and Defendant CashCall, Inc. jointly apply for a 30-day extension of the Court-ordered January 24, 2008 deadline for the parties to file cross-motions for summary judgment. As explained below, good cause exists to grant the requested 30-day extension.

I. Background.

In December 2005, Plaintiff borrowed money from Defendant pursuant to a promissory note. In August 2007, Plaintiff filed a complaint against Defendant, alleging that Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 (the "TCPA"), by placing telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone using an artificial or prerecorded voice during collection activities related to Plaintiff's account. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated.

Under the TCPA, it is "unlawful for any person . . . to make any call (other than a call . . . made with the prior express consent of the called party) using . . . an artificial or prerecorded voice . . . to any telephone number assigned to a paging service, cellular telephone service, specialized mobile radio service, or other radio common carrier service, or any service for which the called party is charged for the call." 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii). The phrase "prior express consent" is not defined in the TCPA, and the parties have not been able to find any reported decisions that have addressed the meaning of the phrase.

Not surprisingly, Plaintiff and Defendant disagree as to what constitutes "prior express consent" for purposes of the statute. CashCall contends that it had Plaintiff's prior express consent to make calls to her cellular telephone number because Plaintiff provided her cellular telephone number to CashCall when she applied for her CashCall loan and on several other occasions (in writing). Plaintiff contends that she did not provide prior express consent to receive prerecorded message calls from CashCall to her cellular telephone number. As the parties' counsel informed the Court during the status conference in this case, the parties anticipated filing cross-motions for summary judgment in an effort to obtain a ruling on the issue of prior express consent. On December 28, 2007, the parties submitted a stipulation requesting an extension of the ADR completion deadline pending a ruling on the parties' anticipated cross-motions. On January 8, 2008, the Court entered an order

granting the parties' stipulation and directing the parties to file their cross-motions by January 24, 2008.

II. Request For 30-Day Extension Of Time.

On December 28, 2007, the Federal Communications Commission issued a Declaratory Ruling with respect to the phrase "prior express consent," as used in the TCPA, clarifying that "autodialed and prerecorded message calls to wireless numbers that are provided by the called party to a creditor in connection with an existing debt are permissible as calls made with the 'prior express consent' of the called party." The FCC released its ruling on January 4, 2008.

In light of the FCC's ruling, Plaintiff is assessing her options, and the parties are in discussions regarding how to proceed with this case but need a couple weeks to make any definitive decisions. An extension of the January 24 cross-motion filing deadline to allow these discussions to continue – and to possibly avoid cross-motions altogether – will help minimize the time and expense for the parties and their counsel and will also conserve the Court's time and resources. Thus, the parties believe that good cause exists to extend the January 24, 2008 cross-motion filing deadline for 30 days to February 25, 2008 (the first business that is 30 days after January 24) and request that the Court grant their Joint Application.

[continued on next page]

Case 3:07-ev-04002-SI Besument 26 Filed 01/25/2008 Page 4 of 8

1	1 III. <u>Conclusion</u> .		
2	For the reasons set forth above, the parties request that the Court grant their Joint Application		
3	3 for a 30-day extension of the January 24, 20	008 cross-motion filing deadline.	
4	4 DATED: January 2孝, 2008		
5	5	JESSE S. FINLAYSON MICHAEL R. WILLIAMS	
6	6	FINLAYSON, AUGUSTINI & WILLIAMS LLP	
7	7	By: Michael R. Williams	
8	8		
9	9	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant CASHCALL, INC.	
10	O DATED: January, 2008		
11	1	DOUGLAS J. CAMPION LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS J. CAMPION	
12	2	JOSHUA B. SWIGART	
13	3	HYDE & SWIGART	
14	4	By: Joshua B. Swigart	
15	5	Joshua B. Swigart	
16	6	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant TRICIA LECKLER	
17	Leckler - Statement Regarding Dispositive Motions		
18	3		
19	∍ ∥		
20	o		
21	1		
22	2		
23	3 .		
24	4		
25	5		
26	5 		
27	7		
28	3		
- II	II	• ,	

1	III. <u>Conclusion</u> .			
2	For the reasons set forth above, the parties request that the Court grant their Joint Application			
3	for a 30-day extension of the January 24, 2008 cross-motion filing deadline.			
4	DATED: January, 2008			
5	_	JESSE S. FINLAYSON MICHAEL R. WILLIAMS		
6		FINLAYSON, AUGUSTINI & WILLIAMS LLP		
7		Ву:		
8		Michael R. Williams		
9		Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant CASHCALL, INC.		
10	DATED: January 🛂 2008	5.522.61. 2. , M.O.		
11		DOUGLAS J. CAMPION		
12		LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS J. CAMPION		
13	·	JOSHUA B. SWIGART HYDE & SWIGART		
14		By:		
15		Joshua B. Swigart		
16		Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant TRICIA LECKLER		
17	Leckler - Statement Regarding Dispositive Motions	INCIA ELONDER		
18				
19				
20				
21	·			
22				
23				
24 ∦				
25				
26				
27		•		
28				
		<u> </u>		

8

9

2 2

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Wendy S. Mills, declare as follows:

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California; I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to this action; my business address is 110 Newport Center Drive, Suite 100, Newport Beach, California 92660, in said County and State. On January 23, 2008, I served the following document(s):

JOINT APPLICATION FOR 30-DAY EXTENSION OF DEADLINE FOR PARTIES TO FILE CROSS-MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

on the parties stated below:

Counsel for Plaintiff: Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. **HYDE & SWIGART** 411 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 301 San Diego, CA 92108-3551

Counsel for Plaintiff: Douglas J. Campion, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS J. CAMPION 411 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 301 San Diego, CA 92108

by the following means of service:

10	X	BY MAIL : I placed a true copy of the above-mentioned document(s) in a sealed envelope addressed as				
11		indicated above, on the above-mentioned date. I am familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day in				
12		the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in				
13		the affidavit.				
14		messenger for personal delivery to each person named above, at the address(es) shown above, before				
p.m. on the above-mentioned date.		p.m. on the above-mentioned date.				
16		BY ELECTRONIC MAIL: I caused the above-mentioned document(s) to be transmitted electronically to the e-mail address of the addressees indicated above. I am readily familiar with this firm's Microsoft				
17	Outlook electronic mail system and each such document was duly served electronically on the mentioned date, and the transmission was reported as complete and without error.					
18		BY FACSIMILE: I caused the above-mentioned document(s) to be transmitted by facsimile machine t				
19		the parties and numbers indicated above, on the above-mentioned date, pursuant to Rule 2.306. The facsimile machine I used complied with Rule 2.306 and no error was reported by the machine. Pursuant				
20		to Rule 2.306, I caused the machine to print a transmission record of the transmission, a copy of which is maintained by this office.				
21		☐ BY UPS—NEXT DAY AIR: I placed a true copy of the above-mentioned document(s) in a sealed				
22		envelope or package designated by the United Parcel Service with delivery fees paid or provided for, addressed to the person(s) as indicated above, on the above-mentioned date, and I deposited same in a box				
23	or other facility regularly maintained by United Parcel Service or delivered same to an authorized by United Parcel Service to receive documents.					
24	I am employed in the office of Michael R. Williams, a member of the bar of this Court, and the foreg					
25	document(s) was/were printed on recycled paper.					
26	X	(FEDERAL) I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.				
27		Executed on January 23, 2008. Wendy S. Mills				

1 2 3 4 5 6	JESSE S. FINLAYSON, SBN 179443 MICHAEL R. WILLIAMS, SBN 196863 FINLAYSON, AUGUSTINI & WILLIAMS LLP 110 Newport Center Drive, Suite 100 Newport Beach, California 92660 Telephone: (949) 759-3810 Facsimile: (949) 759-3812 Email: jfinlayson@faw-law.com; mwilliams@fa Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant CASHCALL, INC.	w-law.com		
7	LINITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT		
8				
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
10				
11	TRICIA LECKLER, ON BEHALF OF HERSELF AND ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY	CASE NO. C 07-04002 SI		
12	SITUATED,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING JOINT APPLICATION FOR 30-DAY EXTENSION		
13	Plaintiffs,	OF DEADLINE FOR PARTIES TO FILE CROSS-MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY		
14	v.	JUDGMENT		
15	CASHCALL, INC.,			
16	Defendant.			
17				
18	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.			
19		_		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

Finlayson, Augustini & Williams LLP

Casse330077evv921400022S\$1 DDoocumeent12262 FHibelc00112233220088 FPagee82c6182

Plaintiff Tricia Leckler and Defendant CashCall, Inc. jointly applied for a 30-day extension of			
the Court-ordered January 24, 2008 deadline for the parties to file cross-motions for summary			
judgment in this action. The Court having read and considered the parties' Joint Application			
concludes that the parties have established good cause to grant the requested 30-day extension.			
Accordingly, the Court grants the parties' Joint Application. The parties shall file their cross-motions			
for summary judgment by February 25, 2008.			

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____, 2007

Suran Illaton

Susan Illston United States District Judge

Leckler - Proposed Order Granting Request for Extension of Time

Finlayson, Augustini & Williams LLP